## BEFORE THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Name of the Petitioner: Javed Iqbal S/o Muhammad Iqbal, R/o Village Badoki, P.O. Kahna, Tehsil & District Lahore.

SUBJECT MATTER: REPRESENTATION AGAINST THE

PRELIMINARY DELIMITATION

PROPOSALS OF NA-134 & NA-132

OF DISTRICT LAHORE UNDER RULE

12 OF ELECTION RULES, 2017.

## Respectfully sheweth:

- 1. That the petitioner is a registered voter of the Union Council Pandoki, Tehsil Model Town, District Lahore (presently falls in NA-134) and his name is duly reflected in the concerned voter list at serial No.60 and Block Code bearing No.259030203. Copy is attached herewith.
- 2. That initially the petitioner / objector was the voter of the previous NA-129 and PP-159 of District Lahore. It is pertinent to mention here that at that very time, NA-129 was the smallest NA of District Lahore and PP-159 was the biggest PP of the Lahore. It is also worth mentioning over here that under that very NA 129 there was only one PP-159, whereas in the whole district there were two PPs under one NA.
- 3. That the constituencies were delimited by the Delimitation Committee which targeted the Union Council of the petitioner / objector just to oust the petitioner from the constituency which were remained the part and parcel throughout the elections since 1988.

The delimitation Committee Punjab delimited the NA-134 as under;

- (a) The following census charges of Model Town
  Tehsil
- 1(1) Charge No.4 excluding circle No.1&2
- 2(1) Charge No.16 excluding circle No.1,2,3 & 4

! ! !

- 3 Charge No.2
- 4 Charge No.3

It is pertinent to mention over here that the Union Council of the petitioner / objector consisting of Census Circles No.1, 2 & 6 of Charge No.3 of Tehsil Model Town. It is pertinent to mention over here that the said three census circles No.1, 2 & 6 of charge No.3 consisting upon population 33,518/- and that very population having no geographical compactness with the NA-134 which is the basic principle enumerated in section 20 of the Elections Act, 2017 which provided that population should bedistributed geographically compact areas which is violated by the delimitation committee of Punjab while making the proposed delimitations of constituencies NA-134.

4. That the maps which are annexed with the objection petitions clearly shows and also established by the revenue department that the census, circles bearing No.1, 2 & 6 of charge No.3 of Tehsil Model Town is not geographical compact and connected with the NA-134 rather it is geographical compacted with the NA-132. It is pertinent to mention over here that the criteria of the population provided in the principles of delimitation also did not disturb while putting the population in circles No.1, 2 and 6 of Charge No.3 of Tehsil Model Town into NA-132. It is pertinent to mention over here that the circle one of charge No.2 is consisting of population number 68278, which is totally not geographical compact with NA 132, so if the circle No.1 of charge

No.2 bearing population number 68278 is excluded from the NA-132 and the same is included in NA-134 at the place of circle no.1, 2 & 6 of charge No.3 of NA-134 that would be most suitable proposal which should be completed all respect and would be fully satisfied the limitation which are provided in section 20 of the Election Act 2017 such as the geographical compactness equal shares of population etc.

- 5. That another most important factor which is the existing boundaries of Administrative units which is violated by the delimitation committee only census circles of 1,2 and 6 of charge No.3 of Model Town Tehsil and the same was included in the NA-134, while violating the administrative boundaries of Tehsil Model Town and that is the only area of Tehsil Model Town which was included in NA-134 which is consisting upon Tehsil Raiwind that's why the principle of geographical compactness, distribution of population as well as the existing boundaries of administrative units and facilities of communication, public convenience are violated which could be established by the existing as well as proposed delimitation.
- 6. That the union council of the petitioner / objector remained always the part and parcel of NA-132 which was previously known as NA-129.

In view of the above proposals, it is therefore prayed by accepting the representation, the census circles No.1, 2 and 6 of charge No.3 Tehsil Model Town may kindly be excluded from NA-134 and included in NA-132 and circle No.1 of charge No.2 bearing population number 68278 be excluded from the NA-132 and the same may be included in NA-134 at the place of circle no.1, 2 & 6 of charge No.3 of NA-134, in the supreme interest of justice and fair play.

Through:

CH. ARSHD HUSSAIN

Advocate Supreme Court

Al-Majeed Center,

Link Farid Kotlkoad, Lahore.