Je

BEFORE THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN, ISLAMABAD

Representation No:

of 2018

To,

The Secretary, Election Commission of Pakistan, Islamabad.

MEMORANDUM OF REPRESENTATION TO THE PRELIMINARY DELIMITATION IN RESPECT OF THE CONSTITUENCIES NA-225, NA-226, NA-227 & OF HYDERABAD DISTRICT

The Petitioner above-named most respectfully submits as under:-

- 1. The Petitioner is a voter in PS-63 (Hyderabad-II, comprise of Taluka Hyderabad Rural) & NA-225 (HYDERABAD-I), and has contested Local Bodies Election in the year 2015 for number of Union Councils in Taluka Hyderabad Rural of District Hyderabad. Accordingly, the Petitioner is directly aggrieved by Preliminary Delimitation in respect to the area, therefore, hereinafter proposes amendments in Preliminary Delimitation of constituencies of District Hyderabad, keeping in view the principles of delimitation i.e. compactness, contiguity, territorial unit homogeneity and population as encapsulated in Election Act, 2017. Copy of voter's list and CNIC is enclosed as Annex A & A-1.

 NB: Proposal adjusts the population as required by the ECP
- 2. The Preliminary Delimitation is squarely against the statutory Principles of Delimitation, as enshrined in Section 20 of the Election Act, 2017, and the criteria laid down in the dictums by the Supreme Court of the Country. Delimitation. Said Preliminary Delimitation in respect to District Hyderabad seems unbalanced and discriminatory, which in-turn leave an impression of gerrymandering.

Jee

3. Following is the proposal of the Petitioner on Preliminary Delimitation report for NA-225 (HYDERABAD-I) comprising of PS-63 (Hyderabad-II)& PS-62 (Hyderabad-I, is spelt out as under:-

Proposed areas inclusion for NA-225:-

- (a) Tepedar Circle Kathri of Hatri STC, (b) Tapedar i) Circle Hatri of Hatri STC and, (c) Tapedar Circle of Additional Kathri STC of District Hyderabad. Said mentioned areas may be included in PS-63 on various grounds amongst others, :- (i) said mentioned areas are geographically rural areas and their inclusion in PS-62, which is primarily an urban area, in-turn, would lead to administrative neglect and budget confinements as well, (ii) cognate factor holds water over here in terms of the fact that exclusion of said area might lead to a law and order situation and, thus social fabric of the society (iii) disturbing administratively said areas are part of District Council Hyderabad (Hyderabad Rural Taluka) (iv) Public convenience also advocates the inclusion of said area in PS-63.
- ii) Town Committee Husri may also be included in PS-63, on various grounds:- (i) Town Committee Husri comes under **Tapedar Circle Husri**, which in-turn consists of 03 dehs (i) Alni (ii) Panhwari (iii) Kathro. Evident Gerrymandering in this context exists by shifting Town Committee Husri (part of T.C. Husri) in Latifabad Taluka, which normally otherwise would have been a full shift of T.C. Husri. (ii) said area has remained historically/geographically in PS-63 (formerly known as PS-50).

TABLE

NA-225	Hyderabad	(Rural) Taluka	
Hyderabad !	including the	following areas:.	
	i)	Town Committee	
	1	Husri	21,073
	ii)	Tapedar Circle	
		Kathri of Hatri	
		STC,	19,290
	iii)	Tapedar Circle	
	2.	Hatri of Hatri	
		STC and,	35,566
	iv)	Tapedar Circle of	
		Addl. Kathri	
		STC of District	
		Hyderabad.	19,519
	v)	M C Tando Jam	78,989
1	vi)	TC Addl: Husain	
		Khan Thoro	11,684
	vii)	TC Addl: Tando	
		Hyder	13,444
	viii)	TC Addl: Tando	
'		Qaisar	11,171
	ix)	TC Husain Khan	
		Thero	18,333
	(x)	TC Tando Hyder	40,893
	xi)	TC Tando Qaisar	24,992
	xii)	TC Tando Jam	33,139
	xiii)	TC Addl: Husri	15,651
	xiv)	TC Husri	10,058
	Total Prop	posed Population	353,802

	a) Qasimabad Taluka
Hyderabad I	excluding Census 299,581
	Charge No:2 of
	Hyderabad
	Cantonment(Part) of
	Taluka Qasimabad
	b) the following areas
	be included:-
	i) Census

Je.

		Charge No:	
		17ofHydera bad City	
		bad City Taluka	21,098
	ii)	Census	2.,075
	•• •	Charge NO:	
-		18 of	
		Hyderabad	
		City Taluka	
	iii)	Census	29,744
		Circle No:	
		1,2,3&5 of	
		Charge No:	
		l of Latifabad	
		Taluka	31,274
		* ********	,
	Total Proposed	Population	381,697

Proposal for NA-225, Hyderabad-I, is same as the case of PS-62 and PS-63

N.A 225	a)	Including		
Hyderabad I		Proposed P.S 62		
		Hyderabad I of		
		Taluka		
		Qasimabad.	381,697	
	b)	Including		
		Proposed P.S 63		1
		Hyderabad II of		İ
		Taluka		
		Hyderabad		
		Rural.	353,802	
-	Total Propos	sed Population	735,499	

Proposed areas inclusion for PS-62

Following areas may be included to PS-62 on belowmentioned grounds:-

- a) Circle No.1, 2, 3, 5 of Census charge No.1 of Taluka Latifabad, Hyderabad.
- b) Census Charge No.17 & 18 of Taluka City, Hyderabad

i) Said areas principally comprise of Sindhi linguistic community and therefore on cognate grounds need not to be disturbed and merging it with the area (i.e. PS-65 and PS-66 respectively) which mainly comprises of Urdu Community and historically rivalry has been seen between both communities, therefore merging it with PS-65 and PS-66 would lead to inorderly situation. (ii) historically it has also remained part of PS-62 (formerly known as PS-47) (iii) said areas are geographically compact as well and therefore deserve to remain in PS-62.

4. Accordingly, the Proposal for the NA-226 (Hyderabad-II) comprising of PS-64 & 65 is as follows:

Proposed areas inclusion for NA-226

Following areas may be included to PS-64 and be excluded from PS-63 on below-mentioned grounds:-

- a) T.C. Add. Tando Fazal
- b) T.C. Tando Fazal
- c) T.C. Moolan
- d) T.C. Add. Moolan
- e) T.C. Seri.

i) As far as public convenience and physical communication i.e. lay-out of road network and allied infrastructure are in question, said areas are well-connected through main road of District Hyderabad to District Tando Muhammad Khan, therefore, general population would reach out to administrative offices/units conveniently through mentioned road/network rather than what would exist as a result of newly proposed delimitations by the ECP. Further, Public representatives and voters would remain disconnect/distant owing to said reason of road network (inaccessibility) (ii) said areas shares approximately 15 kilometers of physical boundaries with Taluka Latifabad, PS-64 (formerly known as PS-49) (iii) population has already been and still is resident of PS-64, therefore such a dismantling would only lead to a further chaotic Political scenario and administrative failure. (iv) Aforementioned areas were formerly part of Provincial Assembly Seat of Tando Muhammad Khan District.

Je

Proposed Table

NA 226	a) the following areas of Latifabad Taluka	T
Hyderabad II	i) Census Charge No: 19	32,259
	ii) Census Charge No: 20	31,990
	iii) Census Charge No: 21	47,116
	iv) Census Charge No: 25	16,714
	v) Census Charge No: 26	39,805
	vi) Census Charge No: 27 and	24,630
	vii) Census Circle No: 03,04,05	
	and 06 of Charge No:	
	03(Part)of Hyderabad	31,287
	Cantonement and,	
	viii) Census Charge No: 26	34,328
	Hyderabad City Taluka of	
	District Hyderabad.	
	b) The following areas of Taluka	
	Hyderabad (rural) be included:	
	i) T.C Addl.Tando Fazal	16,318
	ii) T.C Tando Fazal	17,503
	iii) T.C Moolan	19,712
	iv) T.C Addl.Moolan	24,509
	v) T.C Seri	34,926
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Total:	371,097

P.S 65	a). The	following Census Charges of	1
Hyderabad IV	[d Taluka:	
	i,	Census Circle No.04 & 06 of	13,299
		Census Charge No: 1	
	ii.	Census Charge No: 2	18,119
	iii.	Census Charge No: 3	22,286
	iv.	Census Charge No: 4	9,397
	v.	Census Charge No: 5	22,213
	vi.	Census Charge No: 6	33,299
	vii,	Census Charge No: 7	22,392
	viii.	Census Charge No: 8	23,823
	ix,	Census Charge No: 9	25,886
	x.	Census Charge No: 10	27,427
	xi.	Census Charge No: 11	17,344
	xii.	Census Charge No: 12	30,882
	xiii.	Census Charge No: 13	15,172
	xiv.	Census Charge No: 14	18,174
	XV.	Census Charge No: 15	15,451

Jy

xvi. Census Charge No: 16 Of District Hyderabad	19,787
b). The following Census Charges of Hyderabad City Taluka including:	
i). Census Charge No:17 ii). Census Charge No:18	17,894 12,009
Total:	364,854

Proposed areas inclusion for NA-226

Following areas may be included to PS-65 and be excluded from PS-64 on below-mentioned grounds:-

- a) Census charge No.17 & 18 of Taluka Latifabad.
- i) Said areas are within boundaries of PS-65, (ii) it is surprising to note that even though census charge No.16 of Taluka Latifabad is in proximity/close to PS-64 but Charge No.17 & 18, which are distant were included in PS-64 and therefore gerrymandering is inevitably evident (iii) geographically close to PS-65. (iv) Public convenience with respect to road-network.

<u>Proposal for NA-226. Hyderabad-I, is same as the case of PS-64 and PS-65</u>

N.A 226		a) Including Proposed P.S 64	
Hyderabad II		Hyderabad-III of Taluka	İ
		Latifabad &	
	i)	T.C Addl.Tando Fazal	
	ii)	T.C Tando Fazal	
	iii)	T.C Moolan	1
	iv)	T.C Addl.Moolan	
	v)	T.C Seri	
		of Taluka Hyderabad (Rural).	371,097
		b) Including Proposed P.S 65	
		Hyderabad-IV of Taluka	
		Latifabad.	364,854
		Total:	735,951

fle

5. Consequently, the Proposal for NA-226, Hyderabad-III, comprising of PS-66 and PS-67, which is spelt out as under:-

Proposed areas inclusion for NA -227

Following areas may be included to PS-66 and be excluded from PS-64, on below-mentioned grounds:-

- a) Census Charge No: 22, 23 & 24 of Taluka Latifabad
 - i) Previously was part of PS-66 ii) Public convenience for voters of the area as central point of City is in proximity with PS-66 rather PS-64.

	NA 227	a)The following census Charges of	
	Hyderabad III	Hyderabad City Taluka:	
		i. Census Charge NO: 15	26,126
		ii. Census Charge NO: 16	33,990
		iii. Census Charge No: 19	15,952
		iv. Census Charge No: 20	17,573
		v. Census Charge No: 21	9,046
		vi. Census Charge No: 22	25,205
1		vii. Census Charge No: 23	13,055
		viii. Census Charge No: 24	11,044
		ix. Census Charge No: 25	17,952
1		x. Census Charge No: 27	12,515
		xi. Census Charge No: 28	20,696
İ	•	xii. Census Charge No: 29	15,494
		xiii. Census Charge No: 30	21,859
		b). The following Census Charges of	1
		Hyderabad Cantonment of Hyderabad City	
		Taluka	
		i. Census Charge No: 1 (Part)	21,389
		ii. Census Charge No: 2 (Part)	16,965
		and	
		c). Census Charge No. 2(Part) Hyderabad	
		Cantonment of Qasimabad Taluka.	5,318
		d). Census Charge No: I (Part) of	
		Hyderabad Cantonment of Latifabad	11,111
		Taluka and	
		e) Census Circle No: 1 and 2 of Charge	
	İ	No: 3 (Part) of Hyderabad Cantonment of	13,720
		Taluka Latifabad of District Hyderabad.	
		f). The Following a Census Charge of Taluka	1
	ļ	Latifabad are included:	}

Je

 		ν
j i.	Census Charge No:22	27,643
ii.	Census Charge No:23	24,594
iii.	Census Charge No:24	20,514
	Total:	381,761
 		7, 101

No Proposal/Objection on PS-67, therefore, as notified by ECP

Hyderabad III i) Census Charge No: 1 15,286 ii) Census Charge No: 2 33,079 iii) Census Charge No: 3 64,045 iv) Census Charge No: 4 29,721 v) Census Charge No: 5 23,526 vi) Census Charge No: 6 17,244 vii) Census Charge No: 7 20,728 viii) Census Charge No: 8 28,185 ix) Census Charge No: 9 22,380 x) Census Charge No: 10 21,729 xi) Census Charge No: 11 15,888 xii) Census Charge No: 12 14,116 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 20,023 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in Preliminary Delimitation.	NA 227	The following Cen	Sus Charges of Latiful	
ii) Census Charge No: 2 iii) Census Charge No: 3 iv) Census Charge No: 4 v) Census Charge No: 5 vi) Census Charge No: 6 vi) Census Charge No: 6 vii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 8 ix) Census Charge No: 9 x) Census Charge No: 10 xi) Census Charge No: 10 xi) Census Charge No: 11 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in	Hyderabad III	Taluka:	ses charges of Lamabad	
iii) Census Charge No: 2 iii) Census Charge No: 3 iv) Census Charge No: 4 v) Census Charge No: 5 vi) Census Charge No: 6 vi) Census Charge No: 6 vii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 8 ix) Census Charge No: 9 x) Census Charge No: 10 xi) Census Charge No: 11 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in		i)	Census Charge No: 1	15 286
iii) Census Charge No: 3 iv) Census Charge No: 4 v) Census Charge No: 5 vi) Census Charge No: 6 vii) Census Charge No: 6 viii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 8 ix) Census Charge No: 9 x) Census Charge No: 10 xi) Census Charge No: 11 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in		ii)		i '
iv) Census Charge No: 4 v) Census Charge No: 5 vi) Census Charge No: 6 vii) Census Charge No: 6 viii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 8 ix) Census Charge No: 9 x) Census Charge No: 10 xi) Census Charge No: 11 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in		iii)		, , , , ,
v) Census Charge No: 5 23,526 vi) Census Charge No: 6 17,244 vii) Census Charge No: 7 20,728 viii) Census Charge No: 8 28,185 ix) Census Charge No: 9 22,380 x) Census Charge No: 10 21,729 xi) Census Charge No: 11 15,888 xii) Census Charge No: 12 14,116 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 20,023 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 21,918 Remains same as notified by ECP in		iv)		1 -
vii) Census Charge No: 6 viii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 8 ix) Census Charge No: 9 x) Census Charge No: 10 21,729 xi) Census Charge No: 11 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in		(v)		1 ,
viii) Census Charge No: 7 viii) Census Charge No: 8 ix) Census Charge No: 9 22,380 x) Census Charge No: 10 21,729 xi) Census Charge No: 11 5,888 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 Remains same as notified by ECP in		vi)		1 -
viii) Census Charge No: 8 28,185 ix) Census Charge No: 9 22,380 x) Census Charge No: 10 21,729 xi) Census Charge No: 11 15,888 xii) Census Charge No: 12 14,116 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 20,023 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 21,918 Remains same as notified by ECP in		vii)		1
ix) Census Charge No: 9 x) Census Charge No: 10 21,729 xi) Census Charge No: 11 xii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 12 xiii) Census Charge No: 13 xiv) Census Charge No: 14 21,918 Remains same as notified by ECP in		viii)		
xi) Census Charge No. 11 xii) Census Charge No. 12 xiii) Census Charge No. 12 xiii) Census Charge No. 13 xiv) Census Charge No. 14 21,729 21,7		ix)		
xii) Census Charge No. 11 xiii) Census Charge No. 12 xiii) Census Charge No. 13 xiv) Census Charge No. 14 20,023 21,918 Remains same as notified by ECP in		x)		21,729
xii) Census Charge No. 12 xiii) Census Charge No. 13 20,023 xiv) Census Charge No. 14 21,918 Remains same as notified by ECP in		xi)	Census Charge No: 11	1
xiv) Census Charge No. 14 21,918 Remains same as notified by ECP in	ŀ	xii)	Census Charge No: 12	ł
Remains same as notified by ECP in		xiii)]
		xiv)	Census Charge No: 14	i
		Remains same as	notified by ECP in	
1				
Total: 347.868		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total:	247.960

J

Proposal for NA-227. Hyderabad-III. is same as the case of PS-66 and PS-67

N.A 227 Hyderabad III	a) Including Proposed P.S 66	
Tyocrabad III	Hyderabad-V of Taluka City	381,761
	Hyderabad.	
1	b) Including ECP Proposed	
	P.S 67 HyderabadVI of	347,868
	Taluka City Hyderabad .	
	Total:	729,629

NB: Proposed Map for all Constituencies of District Hyderabad attached as ANNEXURE-B

- 6. The impugned Preliminary delimitation does not maintain the general principles of delimitation i.e. geographically compact areas, existing boundaries, physical features, facilities of communication, cognate factors in order to ensure harmony whilst creating the constituencies.
- 7. The above-mentioned proposal is suggested keeping in mind the principles of Delimitation and welfare of the People of District Hyderabad, and therefore no bias is shown whilst suggesting the same.
- 8. The Petitioner crave permission of this August Commission to allow the Petitioner to urge any other ground at the time of hearing of memorandum of representation.

99

PRAYER

In view of above circumstance, it is mostly respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Authority/Commission may kindly be pleased to recall the impugned Preliminary delimitation of the District Hyderabad and delimit the constituencies with regard to attached map, and any other measure/order deemed fit may also be passed in the favour of the Petitioner in the interest of Justice.

PETTIONER

Sohail Khan S/O Khawand Bux alias Ghulam Muhammad, By caste Jahejo, R/O Village Haji Abdul Karim Jahejo, P O Raheemabad, Detha Taluka& District Hyderabad Cell:- 03332667107

VERIFICATION

I, Sohail Khan S/o Khawand Bux R/o: Hyderbad do hereby state on oath that the contents of the above Memorandum of Representation are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and no part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed therein.

HYDERABAD

DATED: 02-04-2018

PETITIONER