

3

BEFORE THE SECRETARY ELECTION COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Zahoor Ahmad Pasha S/O Qazi Ghulam Rabbani r/o
Village Bokra ,P.O Sasral,Tehsil Gujar Khan ,District
Rawalpindi.

REPRESENTATION UNDER SECTION 20 & 21 OF THE ELECTION ACT, 2017 (ACT
NO. XXXIII OF 2017) READ WITH RULE 10 & 12 OF THE ELECTION RULES, 2017
AGAINST PREMIMINARY DELIMITATION REPORT OF PP-8,PP-9 and 10.

Respectfully submitted that:-

The undersigned is registered as voter at Sr. No 38 in Electoral block No 1210411104, village Bokra falling in Constituencies NA.-58 and PP-9 of Gujerkhan Tehsil ,District Rawalpindi ,respectively, thus is entitled to file this representation/proposal on account of serious drawbacks in the list of constituencies notified by the Honorable Commission vide notification No. F8(3)/2018 Dated 5 March 2018, on following grounds.-

- The population of Rawalpindi district is 5405633 .
- The district has got a share of 7 NA seats and 15 PA seats respectively for its representation in both Assemblies.
- The district had earlier 7 seats in NA and 14 in PA (1 NA each comprising 2 PA)
- I had been the former Delimitation Officer in ECP and had conducted the delimitation of entire country alone and the changes made therein from to time including all re-descriptions afterwards and delimitation of Karachi also. Therefore understands well the procedure and procedure and complications involved in delimitation.
- I have retired from service as ADG (Admn) and ADG (Training)and is dedicated to the Institution for its integrity and that
- The undersigned is not affiliated to any party or politician .
- In view of above stated facts I personally feel that no major change was required in the former extents of constituencies except for a modest adjustment of population in each existing constituency in a way to create an additional PA seat for Rawalpindi district due to influx of population in Chaklala QH of Rawalpindi District (The present Areas known as Bahria Town,and other Housing Societies raising the population of Chaklala QH from 225064 to 651795 instead of rebuilding the entire structure of constituencies. As a result thereof drastic changes have been made in former boundaries of constituencies ignoring violently the principle of delimitation Laid down under Section "20. Principles of delimitation.—

It appears that none of the aforementioned principles of delimitation has been followed by the delimitation Committee charged with delimitation task. However ,it is appreciated that the Committee seriously heeded to the rule (5) of Delimitation Rules (without anticipating the consequences), which reads as under:-

9

(5) As far as possible, the delimitation of constituencies of an Assembly shall start from the Northern end of the district, or, as the case may be, the agency and then proceed clock-wise in zigzag manner keeping in view that population among the constituencies of an Assembly shall remain as close as may be practicable to the quota".

But unfortunately strict adherence and faithful observance of aforementioned rule lead to damaging collision of the spirit of the rule itself with the Principles of delimitation producing the following drawbacks in the new constituency plan which are being pointed out as under:-

1. Equal distribution of Population. The following table showing a comparison of distribution of population is appended below, which is self explanatory and needs no comments.

Comparison of Notifide and proposed allocation of population of constituencies		
Constituency No	Notifide	Proposed
PP-6	352783	352783
PP-7	358315	372534
PP-8	350413	338416
PP-9	342909	340087
PP-10	367139	385757
PP-11	353874	383158
PP-12	380103	380103
PP-13	327810	372713
PP-14	355277	334411
PP-15	356061	349153
PP-16	350719	333912
PP-17	408119	378101
PP-18	410068	329941
PP-19	340552	389746
PP-20	351491	364818
	5405633	5405633

It appears from the above table that remarkable population difference of 10% or more can be observed in case of constituencies No PP-13, PP-17 and PP-18. The apparent cause of this imparity in distribution of population has occurred only due to heading from north to East without having regard of maintaining the administrative boundaries. The respected members of the delimitation Committee equipped with the full resources although exerted too much but failed to

achieve the target of equal distribution among the constituencies, which could have been done with more efforts. The apparent cause of this imparity in distribution of population has occurred perhaps only due to heading from north to East without having regard of maintaining the administrative boundaries.

2. Administrative units is a pre-requisite for delimitation of constituencies but all the administrative units have been pronged in to different constituencies, unwontedly.

3. Geographic compactness. The areas assigned to the constituencies are not compact and contiguous.

4. Physical feature. Areas having different feature have been tagged in constituencies.

5. Means of Communication Means of communications in the constituencies have been totally ignored

The instances of such grave violation of principles of delimitation are given hereunder:-

1. Gujarkhan Tehsil which has been bifurcated in to 3 Provincial Assembly constituencies i.e PP-8 , PP9, PP 10 to dissolve its status of having 2 seats independently without any hindrance.

1.. Choha Khalsa QH having population of 97623 which is not geographically linked with Gujerkhan due to vast Nallah lying between them and is extend in north up to Kahuta tehsil has been attached with Gujarkhan .

2. On the other hand Sukho QH with population of 64715 of Gujarkahn tehsil which lies as main body between two wings (present constituencies PP-8 & PP-9 has been excluded from its mother administrative unit and merged in to pp_ 10 NA-59 of Rawalpindi tehsil making part of NA-59 by bifurcating an administrative unit against the law , and creating a gap of more than 15 kilometers , without any genuine cause.

- Kallar Sayyadan QH which was formerly part of PP-5 and present PP-10 has been attached back with Kahuta by repalcing the same with Choha Khalsa. Due to which Gujarkhan Tehsil with 2 PP seat has lost its identity and has bee bifurcted in to 3 PPs.

- Marked map showing the areas assigned to the constituency do not match with the textual description given in the notification, like as

1. Patwatr Circle Noor Dolal of Mandra QH of Gujerkhan tehsil is marked in map as part of NA-57 Rawalpindi-I and PP-7 Rawalpindi -II respectively.

2.

3. Patwar Circle Bandoot of Jatli QH of Gujerkhan tehsil has been shown in marked map as part of constituency No PP-10, but not mentioned in the notification.

4. In PP-8 Karsal and Qutbal at b)iii need to be corrected as Kasal and Kutwal in PP-8

5. In PP-10 at b) Sakho QH needs correction as Sukho

6. In PP-11 at(b) iii is Chahan instead of Chohan

7. In PP-13 & 14 Kotha Kallan has been mentioned as Kotha Kallar

8. In PP-20 at (c) Chaklala PC-I has been mentioned as Chaklala QH-I as this a single QH.

GROUNDS

- I. That in conducting the delimitation of constituencies of PP-8 and PP-9 the provisions of the Act XXXIII, 2017 as well as the Rules framed there under is ignored.
- II. That the Gujarkhan tehsil with a population of 678503 qualifies under the rule 10 for 2 seats with a mere variation of 6% below the quota against 10% permissible variation under the rules if the proposed delimitation is finalized and not brought to proper position then the population of above tehsil will definitely face hindrance and difficulties in their representation.
- III. That it is reiterated that the proposed delimitation to the extent of exclusion of the areas of Sukho QH great inconvenience and hardship in selection of their representative during election as it will always be in lack of interest and of hardly any importance to either side (i.e. the elector and the voters). Resultantly the true representation by excluding the same will always be defective and neglected which is obviously against all norms of democracy and obviously against the relevant provisions of the constitutions of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 thus, clearly the public convenience and other cognate factors to ensure homogeneity in creation of constituencies as is the basic principle laid down in Section 20 of Act XXXIII of 2017 and the rules framed there under are therefore not considered at all and similar position in case of proposed exclusion of Sukho Qh from Gujarkahn tehsil.
- IV. That the population of Gujarkhan tehsil and political elite both have unanimously decided that they are not willing to include in 10 or any attachment with PP-8 because of the above mentioned hindrances and hardships to be faced by the population in future in case they are included in said constituencies.

- V. That if the proposed delimitation is finalized, it would create great hardship to the public and cause them inconvenience. Because the police stations, post offices, public health, drinking water supply, basic health units, revenue circle of above mentioned QH all lie in Gujarkhan.
- VI. That any other additional grounds will be raised at the time of arguments with the prior permission of this Honorable Forum.

A revised proposal for delimitation of all the constituencies for the entire district as required under the or law is suggested for consideration under the relevant laws with the request to set aside the delimitation proposed by the Delimitation Committee and proposal submitted by the undersigned may garciously be ordered to be implemented for the sake of democratic values,

Any other relief which this honourable forum deems fit and proper may also be granted.


Zahoor Ahmad Pasha

CNIC# 61101-2001790-

Vote No 39 Block No

121041104

Village Bokra P.O.Sasral

Tehsil Gujar Khan

Distric Rawalpindi

0344 5110529