To



Mar. 11ch

The Honourable Secretary, Election Commission of Pakistan, Islamabad.

AGAINST THE **OBJECTION** COMPLAINT NOTIFICTION NO.F-8(1) 2018 IN RESPECT Subject:-DELIMITATION OF UMERKOT DISTRICT.

Respected sir,

Being aggrieved with the above notification to extent of delimitation of PS(s) of Umerkot District, Umerkot, I respectfully submit the following objection (s) / submissions as well proposals to bring the delimitation of said PS(s) in line and spirit of Section 20 of Chapter-3 of Delimitation of Constituencies:-

FACTS/BACK-GROUND

- 1) Sir, till recent delimitation, the Umerkot District was continuing on delimitation of year 2002 which was based on population of year 1998. As per census of year 1998 the total population of Umerkot District was '663,125' and ratio for a seat of PS was '210,000' therefore, there Umerkot District was given three PS(s) i.e PS 68, PS-69 & PS-70.
 - 2) Sir, for ensuing general election, the ratio of per seat of PS has been fixed as '368,410 with relaxation of 10 percent more or less. Sir, since as per recent census the total population of Umerkot District is '1073146' which again is for three PS(s). As per delimitation of year 2002 the Shadipalli Town & STC Shadipalli (having population of 26386) were included in PS-67 only to satisfy requirement of ratio.
 - 3) Sir, inclusion of Shadi Palli Town and Shadipalli STC in PS-51 (Old 69) the total population of this PS comes to '362604' which is as per recently fixed ratio.

Manyla

- 4) Sir, PS-52 (Old 70), as per recent census, has population of 411335 which is more than fixed ratio while PS-53 (Old PS-68) has population of 299207 which is less than required ratio. If nearest Tapas of Taluka Umerkot namely T.C Qazi Muhammad Dars, T.C Jahaneero, T.C Marwa, T.C Kunnar, T.C Diggo, T.C Kaith are included while STC Tibohi of Taluka Samaro, if excluded from, PS-53 (Old PS-68) as per their geographical position / location, it shall satisfy the required ratio and shall cause no harm or prejudice to any body because Tibohi was earlier satisfy number to only included geographical position / location does not suit. The position shall become evident and clear from old map, showing delimitation of year 2002 map attach herewith. Annexure "A" Page No. 13. & Al
 - 5) Sir, in recent delimitation process, the following aspects i.e:
 - a) the *prime* object is to chalk-out / form a constituency is to facilitate the people of the area (*constituency*) not only for voting purpose but also for future aspects not limiting but including development schemes so as to benefit maximum people;
 - b) the easy access and connection between Tapedar Circular) without which concept of constituency fails;

have been ignored. In PS-53 the nearest **Tapas** of Samaro i.e STC Padrio & TC Jhiluri have been excluded from PS-53 and included in PS-51; while for T.C AD Kaplore T.C Walidad and T.C Karna have been included which *prima facie* is quite unnatural and even against said principles. Sir, this has made the length of PS-53 about 90 K.Ms while the Headquarter of PS-53 remains within three K.Ms of border i.e North-west of Kunri. The position becomes quite clear from a bare look of map and makes it clear that this has been manoeuvred i.e:-

i) The map of election commission, the T.C Qazi Muhammad Dars and T.C AD Kaplore are shown as two separate Tapa and Notification No.08/7/2012/REV-1(iv)/89 dated 23.5.2014 revenue department also verify the same but in notification of Election Commission the Deh's of T.C Qazi Muhammad Dars namely Senhoni Thar, Tar-Samoon, Nabisar-Thar, Rajar-Thar & Rajari Thar are shown part of T.C AD Kaplore;

ii) Sir, in map of Election Commission, the Dehs of T.C Additional Kaplore namely Khalrari & Kharoro-thar are shown in PS-52 while in notification the same are shown in PS-53. It is made clear here that both these Deh's cannot be included in PS-53 because in between these Dehs there is Deh Kharoro which is of Tapa Umerkot & MC Umerkot & Deh Mokal-Bai are of T.C Denore. The same are part of PS-52 and all fall between them.

iii) Sir, the T.C AD Kaplor, created from Tapa Kaplore which is part of PS-52 therefore, T.C AD Kaplore, being part of Tapa Kaplore, should remain in PS-52;

iv) Sir, Taluka Kunri and Taluka Pithoro created in 17th april year 1993 (on creation of Umerkot District) wherein some Tapas were excluded from STC Chhar and formed STC Padrio and it was included in Samaro Taluka only for revenue formalities otherwise same always remained part of STC Chhar. It is naturally attached / affliated with Taluka Kunri and is located within three K.Ms from Kunri. The position becomes evident from a bare look at map. This is reason that this is still included within beat of Kunri police station remain these should be part of PS 53 , TC Araro of STC Padrio Taluka Samaro and TC Tobhan of STC Padrio & Deh Soonthi of TC Padrio are within the jurisdiction of Civil & Judicial Magistrate Court Taluka Kunri. These however not suitable been included in PS-51 thereby breached the objects of criterion; Court Jurisdution office order Copy.

v) the Tapa Jhiluri of Taluka Samaro, due to its geographical location, needs not be excluded from PS-53,

Notification Dated 17.04.1993 regarding creation of new district Umerkot is attached herewith (Annexure "A-2") page No 17.

GROUNDS/REASONS

A) Sir, the delimitation process was conducted but such 'delimitation process', the basic criterion has been violated / departed;

B) Sir, since the above *delimitation process* was conducted without verifying the record of *existing* 'Tapas' therefore, such delimitation *prima facie* is

against the spirit and object of Section 20, Chapter-3 of Delimitation of Constituencies.

(The proposed map of election commission of Pakistan for Umerkot District showing three said PS (described in same colours) is submitted herewith as (annexure "B&B-1") page No.27

Main Map of district Umerkot alongwith population is attatched herewith as "\sum" 🕝

C) Sir, the above map, proposed delimitation of shall prima facie establish that constituencies, following glaring aspects have entirely been ignored i.e:-

- i) geographical location (s) of Tapa (s);
- and access convenience, ease, feasibilities;

Thus, such proposed delimitation (s) of all three said PS (s) was / is not proper, legal, justified and even the object, concept and purpose of delimitation have entirely been ignored which shall cause serious prejudice and inconvenience to voters, contesting candidates and administration. Not only this, but this seems to be with some particular reason and object so as to benefit particular political group which otherwise is sufficient ground for reconsider such delimitation. Not only this but it shall also make it inconvenience for winning candidate to serve maximum people of respective constituency.

PROPOSAL

Since, the objector is interested in having true and fair delimitation within its objective, therefore, respectfully has got prepared the proposed delimitation of said three (03) which not only stands well on criterion, provided by Section 20 of Chapter-3 but also prima facie establishes that same also is convenient, easy access for all purposes and even does not disturb the natural attachment and feasibilities of 'Tapas'.

> (colour map, showing proposed delimitations by objector, is submitted herewith).as annexure "C & C-1" and detail of population.

> Reference map of district Tharparkar is as attatched

herewith Annexure "C-2 & C-3

It is further submitted that a *bare* comparison of map (s), showing delimitation (s), proposed for Election, and the other, proposed by objector, shall make it quite clear that only by:-

- 1. exclusion of T.C Additional Kaplore from PS-53 (Taluka Umerkot) and inclusion thereof in PS-52;
- 2. exclusion of T.C Padrio & T.C Jhiluri, Taluka Samaro from PS-51 and inclusion thereof in PS-53;
- exclusion of T.C Walidad & T.C Karna, Umerkot from PS-53 and inclusion thereof in PS-51;

shall not only satisfy the required criterion but shall not prejudice the required ratio, so set for ensuing General Election.

Copy of Notification No-1(2) revoy-PBS dated 3-1-18

PRAYER of population account 2017 Anen D

Therefore, it is humbly requested that delimitation of said three constituencies of *Umerkot District*, *Sindh* may very kindly be reviewed / reconsidered and after appreciating all facts, circumstances and object of *delimitation* as well hearing of objector, the fresh *delimitation* may kindly be ordered preferably as proposed by objector.

Date

Yours Sincerely.

Muhammad Jam Memon S/o Abdul Haq Memon

CNIC NO. 44104-2581317-7

Cell No.03332012596

Address; Village Memon Kunri Taluka Kunri District Umerkot.